

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/723,697	11/28/2000	Yang T. Shieh	A1114/20006	7614
	90 05/24/2002			
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.			EXAMINER	
7 Penn Center - 12th Floor 1635 Market Street			AFTERGU	Г, JEFF H
Philadelphia, PA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1733	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	α
		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/723,697	SHIEH, YANG T.
omee near cummary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII ING DATE of this communication	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears In the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement	
Application Papers	or orodion requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	approved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	, and Examinor.
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(1)
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		dication No
Copies of the certified copies of the particular application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the particular action for a limit of the particular action.	riority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome attachment(s)	provisional application has been	n received
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5\ Notice of lat-	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
O 200 (D 0.4 A.)	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/723,697

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a covered roll, classified in class 428, subclass 35.9.

II. Claim21-26, drawn to a method of making a covered roll, classified in class 156,

subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one wherein

a preimpregnated strand was wound upon the roll and then an exterior layer applied to the same

whereby the resin was allowed to cure rather than utilizing an infusion operation which involve

vacuum impregnation.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention: species I relating to the various types of cover layer employed, namely, a

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/723,697

Art Unit: 1733

rubber cover (claims 2-4), an urethane cover (claims 6-9), or a helically wound resin impregnated covering (claim 5) and species II relating to whether the impregnation took place while the roll was substantially horizontal (claim 25) or substantially vertical (claim 26).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 10-24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Michael Berkowitz on 5-16-02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/723,697

Art Unit: 1733

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff H. Aftergut whose telephone number is 703-308-2069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1733

JHA May 23, 2002